# CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

CASE NUMBER: C15-2010-0134
110 110 110 110 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10

APPLICANT: Jim Bennett OWNER: Fred Purcell

ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second. The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west

property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny Board Member Nora Salinas second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT for emergency access on east side only, Board Member Jeff Jack second; Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY west property line, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8 ½ feet along the east and west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Grant (east side property line only) and Postpone (west side property line) to April 11, 2011, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0

BOARD'S DECISION: (West side property line) The public hearing was closed on Board Member Heidi Goebel motion to Postpone to May 9, 2011 to provide additional information clear graphics of the fence and update height variance requested, sealed survey measured on both sides, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 vote; POSTPONED TO MAY 9, 2011. (RE-NOTIFICATION REQUIRED)

May 9, 2011 Postponed to June 13, 2011 due to notification error and additional information needed, height information from surveyor

## FINDING:

- The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
  - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due

to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: due to topography of the site the roof of the garage is almost non visible from off the property, structure already in place and have little or no affect on the character of the larea.

Sušan Walker

**Executive Liaison** 

Leane Heldenfels

Chairman

FRED PURCELL 5004 LAKEVIEW DR. AUSTIN, TEXAS 78732 512-266-6393 TEL 512-266-6369 FAX

March 16, 2004

William Snyder, M.D. 5520 North "C" Street McAllen, Texas 78504

By Facsimile: 956-686-5289

Dear Dr. Snyder,

James Watkins asked me to prepare a letter for your consideration detailing the construction of a wall between our lots in the courtyard area of the home I am currently constructing. My understanding in speaking with James is that you would consider the following:

1. Excavation for a footing extending 1'-2' onto your property.

- 2. The actual footing shall be within the boundary of my lot, lot 77.
- 3. The actual wall shall be within the boundary of my lot, lot 77.
- 4. The footing shall be poured in concrete and reinforced with steel.
- 5. The wall will be built with CMU (cinder block).
- 6. The height of the wall shall be approximately 15'.
- 7. The costs for construction shall be paid by me.
- 8. You may veneer the side of the wall facing your property as you like.
- 9. You may tie into the wall at the adjoining corners for use on your lot.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding any of this. Thanks for your help and consideration.

Sincerely,


## Fred Purcell


This was the memo I wrote to my file concerning the letter after Dr. Snyder wrote me back he didn't want to build the wall together:

#### Memo

Spoke with James Watkins around noon on April 13, 2004. He was surprised at the letter. He said he faxed the wall agreement letter on March 16 and had often spoken with Snyder about it. The letter was the doctor's idea and incorporated what he wanted. I explained that due to Easter weekend and the bad storm we didn't get the roofing tiles cleaned up by Sunday but would get it done this week. He said Snyder didn't complain to the city about the height of the house or the deck but was interested to know how to get the height we got for the house. Apparently, Artistry Homes told him he couldn't get it.

PROFILE EXHIBIT OF FENCES ON COMMON LINE OF LOTS 76 & 77, HUGHES PARK SUBDIVISION NO.1 (PERSPECTIVE IS WEST TO EAST)






Delta Survey Group Inc.
8213 Badie Lane Ste. 102 Audit, TX. 78745
effen (513) 325-200 fm (121) 285-230
www.deltassurvergeroup.com

QUAD PROJECT LOT 77 PARK DRIVE CTBLASNOW

FENCE LINE EXHIBIT

## **Delta Survey Group**

STATION	DESCRIPTION	GROUND ELEVATION	LOT 77 WALL	LOT 76 FENCE
0+00	BEGIN FENCE LOT 76	739.9	HEIGHT	HEIGHT
0+08	1 1101 101 70	746.5	<del> </del>	26.9
0+21.5		749.8	<del>                                     </del>	18.4
0+29.5		751.9		15.4
0+35.5	STEP UP IN FENCE	753.0		18.2
	The strategy	753.0		11.1
0+64	STEP UP IN FENCE			19.3
	JOIL OF INTENCE	757.8		8.6
0+77	STEP UP IN FENCE	757.8		11.3
	OTEL OF INFERCE	760.0		6.6
0+91	STEP UP IN FENCE	760.0		9.6
0.01	OTER OF IN PENCE	761.0		10.3
0+98	STEP UP IN FENCE	761.0		11.2
0.00	STEP OP IN FENCE	763.4		8.6
1+05		763.4		10.7
1.00		765.4		10.0
1+07	DECIN WALL LOT 77	765.4		
1+20	BEGIN WALL LOT 77	770.6	7.0	
1120	STEP UP IN WALL	771.4	6.5	
1+35		771.4	8.3	10.3
1+36	OTED UD INV	773.2		8.4
1+30	STEP UP IN WALL	773.4	6.4	
1+74	OTED HE WAY	773.4	8.9	
17/4	STEP UP IN WALL	773.7	9.0	
2,12	00 DEO THE	773.7	7 11.3	
2+13	90 DEG. TURN IN WALL			
	NORTH SIDE	775.1	10.0	
		775.1		
	AVERAGE HEIGHT		8.4'	12.6'



## CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, April 11, 2011	CASE NUMBER: C15-2010-0134
Jeff Jack	
Michael Von Ohlen	
Nora Salinas	
Bryan King	
Leane Heldenfels, Chairman	
Clarke Hammond, Vice Chairman	
Heidi Goebel	

**APPLICANT: Jim Bennett** 

**OWNER: Fred Purcell** 

**ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR** 

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second. The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny Board Member Nora Salinas second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT for emergency access on east side only, Board Member Jeff Jack second; Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY west property line, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8 ½ feet along the east and west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Grant (east side property line only) and Postpone (west side property line) to April 11, 2011, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0


BOARD'S DECISION: (West side property line) The public hearing was closed on Board Member Heidi Goebel motion to Postpone to May 9, 2011 to provide additional information clear graphics of the fence and update height variance requested, sealed survey measured on both sides, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 vote; POSTPONED TO MAY 9, 2011. (RE-NOTIFICATION REQUIRED)

### FINDING:

- The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
  - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: due to topography of the site the roof of the garage is almost non visible from off the property, structure already in place and have little or no affect on the character of the area.

Susan Walker Executive Liaison Leane Heldenfels Chairman



# CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, March 9, 2011	CASE NUMBER: C15-2010-0134	
DENIED Y Jeff JackA Michael Von OhlenY Nora SalinasY Bryan KingY Leane Heldenfels, ChairmanY Clarke Hammond, Vice ChairmanY Heidi GoebelYMelissa Hawthorne	GRANTED Y Jeff Jack A Michael Von Ohlen Y Nora Salinas Y Bryan King Y Leane Heldenfels Y Clarke Hammond Y Heidi Goebel Y Melissa Hawthorne	

**APPLICANT: Jim Bennett** 

**OWNER: Fred Purcell** 

**ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR** 

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second. The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny Board Member Nora Salinas second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT for emergency access on east side only, Board Member Jeff Jack second; Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY west property line, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8  $\frac{1}{2}$  feet along the east and west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Grant (east side property line only) and Postpone (west side property line) to April 11, 2011, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0

## **BOARD'S DECISION:**

#### FINDING:

- The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
  - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of

the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: due to topography of the site the roof of the garage is almost non visible from off the property, structure already in place and have little or no affect on the character of the larea.

Sµsan Walker

Executive Liaison

eane Heldenfels

Chairman

Attention: City of Austin Board of Adjustment

3/9/2011

RE: Opposition to Fred Purcell

Case Number: C15-2010-0134

We oppose the following variances requested by Mr. Purcell because they are detrimental to our property (adjacent) and are not necessary because of any hardship or unusual circumstances. They are due to Mr. Purcell's construction of his home and accessory structures out of <u>disregard for the City of Austin building code</u>. He is asking for forgiveness – after having planned in advance to do the very things for which he seeks variances.

<u>Variance 1</u> – decrease West side yard from <u>5 feet to 4.46 feet</u> for a garage

Oppose this because the garage has a roof that further extends into the setback and is within inches from his wall and the property line. The roof is at the same level as the top of the wall so it is very obtrusive.

No hardship exists to require that he build a garage here. He planned this all along and disregarded the City code.

Variance 2 – decrease front street setback from 25 feet to 10.3 feet.

Carport was built without a permit and he had no intention of notifying the city or requesting a variance.

No hardship exists for this carport to be placed in the setbacks. This property is virtually covered with structures. Slope and street are not a factor the City BOA should find to be unusual or difficult.

Variance 3 - decrease East side yard from 5 feet to 3.9.

Oppose this due to major encroachment for an un-necessary, un-permitted carport.

No hardship or unusual terrain exists for the BOA to authorize this variance.

Variance 4 - decrease West side yard setback from 5 ft to 4.9 feet.

No comment.

<u>Variance 5</u> – decrease East and West side yard setback from <u>5 feet to 0 feet</u> for a pool, deck and stairs.

Oppose this variance request. A swimming pool and deck and stairs were constructed at a height of approximately 10-12 feet above grade and completely into the setback. It is an intrusive and unsightly structure that was constructed under a permit that showed the design to be in compliance, however he did not build it in accordance with the plan. Thus his need for this variance.

Variance 6 – increase maximum fence height from 6 feet to 8.5 feet along the East and West property line.

Oppose this variance request. The information being provided by the applicant, Mr. Purcell, under-represents this structure — it is as high as 15 feet and retains up to 5 feet of land where it was cut out. From the side on our property, it is at least 9-10 feet high as shown by pictures. Note that Mr. Purcell does not have a permit for this structure and no plans submitted showing the detail of this wall.

In Summary, Bill Snyder and Fred Purcell have talked directly (November and December) and Patty Snyder and Mr. Purcell have talked directly (immediately after the last BOA meeting). Mr. Purcell has made no offer to resolve, and no amount of discussion between us and Mr. Purcell can change the need for him to be seeking the Board's approval - violations would still exist. Even without our opposition, the City BOA would still not be able to validate them to be a hardship case, unusual terrain, or some other rationale required for approval. None of these conditions exist.

## U5-2618-0134

Doug Sartoris 12910 Park Drive Austin, Texas 78732 DSartoris@aol.com

March 4, 2011

City of Austin Board of Adjustment P.O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767-1088

Re: Proposed variances, Fred Purcell, Lot 77. To Whom It May Concern:

My home is next door to Fred's. I am in support of all the variances he is seeking as is the neighborhood and our homeowner's association. Specifically, please consider the adverse affects denying the variances for the deck, fence and carport would have on me.

With regard to the deck: the City does not provide wastewater service to this area even though we are considered to be in the City. Therefore, we must provide our own wastewater package plants for each home; because of the extreme topographical changes in the lots ( the topography changes approximately 110' from the street to the water's edge ) a grinder pump is required for each lot. When our homes were built Fred agreed to place his grinder pump and holding tank under the deck; this landscaping feature shields the wastewater pump and holding tank from view. I don't want him to tear up his deck and reconfigure this equipment. Much of the swimming pool and deck are suspended over the bluff and function together structurally. I am concerned that tearing up the deck may also result in changes to the pool which could adversely affect both his bluff property and mine.

With regard to the fence: this is not so much a fence but a landscape wall enclosing both of our courtyards. His landscape wall and mine are one and the same and were built together. Obviously, I don't want this landscape feature changing.

With regard to the gazebo/carport: if Fred is required to tear down this structure, he will likely rebuild it by moving it over to adjoin his one-car garage and in so doing he will need to rebuild his driveway adjacent to our property line. I would have to move and rebuild my mail box and it would put our driveways very close to each other. I much prefer the current design and, therefore, am very much in support of this variance. Though this area is in the City, the streets and right of ways have never been accepted for maintenance by the City; we have major drainage problems from the street on our side. We are required to work together to solve these drainage challenges with no help from the City. We have recently spent a great deal of money on drainage in the street area. If Fred relocates his driveway, much of this will have to be redone.


As I mentioned above, the City has never accepted any of the improvements offered for public dedication in this subdivision. We are provided no City services whatsoever and are annexed for limited purposes only. The Texas Local Government Code in Sec. 211.016 says that City zoning ordinances affecting the exterior appearance of our homes or open space on our lots cannot be applied to this

subdivision as they relate to landscaping, including the type and amount of landscaping materials. This makes it very confusing for homeowners to know what they can do and can't do regarding construction of landscaping features such as decks, patios, landscape walls, fountains etc.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Doug Sartoris



## Sec. 211.016. ZONING REGULATION AFFECTING APPEARANCE OF BUILDINGS OR OPEN SPACE

(a) This section applies only to a zoning regulation that affects: (1) the exterior appearance of a single-family house, including the type and amount of building materials; or (2) the landscaping of a single-family residential lot, including the type and amount of plants or landscaping materials;

(b) A zoning regulation adopted after the approval of a residential subdivision plat does not apply to that subdivision until the second anniversary of the later of: (1) the date the plat was approved; or (2) the date the municipality accepts the subdivision improvements offered for public dedication.


From the City's Motion for Summary Judgment: "Exh. B: the bill file for the enactment of new legislation in 2003, Sec. 211.016, which was added to Subchapter A, Ch. 211, Local Government Code by HB 1207; applicability of the new law is addressed in SECTION 2:


(a) This act takes effect Sept. 1, 2003.

(b) The change in law made by the Act applies only to a residential subdivision plat approved by a municipality on or after the effective date of this Act. A residential subdivision plat approved by a municipality before the effective date of this Act is governed by the law in effect when the plat was approved, and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose."

## DELTA SURVEY GROUP, INC.

8213 BRODIE LANE, SUITE 102 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78745 PHONE:(512)282-5200 FAX:(512)252-5230






NOTE: HEIGHTS ARE BASED ON CITY OF AUSTIN LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE -


ARTICLE 2. DEFINITIONS; MEASUREMENTS.


§ 25-1-21 DEFINITIONS.

(46) HEIGHT

NOTE: (§ 25-2-531 HEIGHT LIMIT EXCEPTIONS) MAY ALSO APPLY.







## CITY OF AUSTIN

## RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION "A"

BP Number 2007-//	
Building Permit No <u>07</u> -	-119518,
Plat No.	Date_5/7/07
Reviewer_SCO	

i	PRIMARY PROJECT DATA	4/0	E1601
	Service Address 17912 Park Orine	TIU	5.15.01
	Legal Description Lot 77 Block A Subdivision Hughes Park	Tax Parcel No.	etion   Phase
, .	If in a Planned Unit Development, provide Name and Case No.		rnase
1	(attach final approved copies of subdivision and site plan)	-	•
た	If this site is not a legally subdivided lot, you must contact the Develo		
$\mathcal{Z}$	Description of Work  New Residence Finish out  Remodel (sp	pecify) FINISH BUT-	<u> </u>
$\boldsymbol{z}$	Duplex Addition (en	ecify) Bldg-Nech, 1	MA
<i>-</i>	Garageattacheddetached	11-11-11	
3	Pool Vother (specific	With the land	1 11/2007-
-	Zoning (e.g. SF-1, SF-2) <u>SF-2</u>	70 Cong	118416
•	- Height of Principal building ft. # of floors Z Heigh		U# of floors
	- Does this site currently have water and wastewater availability? Yes	No. If no, please contact the	
	Austin Water Utility at 512-972-0000 to apply for water and/or wastew - Does this site have a septic system? Yes No. If yes, for all sites	vater tap application, or a service e s requiring a septic field you must (	xtension request. Obtain an approved senti
	permit prior to a zoning review.		an approved septi
	Does this site have a Board of Adjustment ruling? Yes No If yes		
	Will this development require a cut and fill in excess of 4 feet? Yes	<del></del> -	•
1	Does this site front a paved street?No A paved alley?		7
-	Is this property within the Residential Design and Compatibility Standards	Ordinance Boundary Area?Yes	No
	VALUATIONS FOR VALUATIONS FOR NEW CONSTR REMODELS ONLY OR ADDITIONS ONLY	- 224	MIT FEES
1			ffice use only) DITIONS REMODEL!
<u>P</u>	Lot Size 10 CCC sc	q.ft.	S KENODEL
	Mechanical \$   Job Valuation – Principal Building \$ (Labor and material)	700 00 C	\$ \$
3	Plumbing \$ Job Valuation - Other Structure(s) \$	Mechanical \$	<u> </u>
30	Driveway/ (Labor and material Sidewalk \$		\$
<u>۔</u> اُ	TOTAL JOB VALUATION	Driveway & Sidewalk\$	¢
2	(sum of remodels and additions)	TOTAL S	\$
7	(Labor and materials)		······································
4	OWNER / BUILDER INFORMATION		
	OWNER Name Fred Purcell	Telepho	one (h) 266-639:
			(w) <u>784 - 497</u> e
	BUILDER Company Name Sakin Builders Contact/Applicant's Name Teff Sail		
	DRIVEWAY/		ger
1. 1	SIDEWALK Contractor	Telepho	one
	SIDEWALK Contractor	Tolepik	
-	CERTIFICATE Name	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ne
	CERTIFICATE Name	Telepho	•
	CERTIFICATE Name	City	STZIP

You may check the status of this application at www.ci.austin.tx.us/development/pierivr.htm

Applicant's Signature

### CITY OF AUSTIN

## RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION

I understand that in accordance with Sections 25-1-411 and 25-11-66 of the Land Development Code (LDC), non-compliance with the LDC may be cause for the Building Official to suspend or revoke a permit and/or license. I understand that I am responsible for complying with any subdivision notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays prohibiting certain uses and/or requiring certain development restrictions (i.e., height, access, screening, etc.) on this property. If a conflict should result with any of these restrictions, it will be my responsibility to resolve it. I understand that, if requested, I must provide copies of al subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants, and/or zoning conditional overlay information that may apply to this property.

I acknowledge that this project qualifies for the Site Plan Exemption as listed in Section 25-5-2 of the LDC

I understand that nothing may be built upon or over an easement. I further understand that no portion of any roof structure may overhang in any public utility or drainage easement.

I acknowledge that customer will bear the expense of any necessary relocation of existing utilities to clear this driveway location and/o the cost to repair any damage to existing utilities caused during construction.

I also understand that if there are any trees greater that 19 inches in diameter located on the property and immediately adjacent to the proposed construction, I am to schedule a Tree Ordinance review by contacting (512) 974-1876 and receive approval to proceed.

	OF AUSTIN		
RESI	DENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION "C"		
The are	ING COVERAGE a of a lot covered by buildings or roofed areas, but not including (i) inving, landscaping, or open recreational facilities.	cidental projecting eaves and si	milar features, or (ii) grou
		Existing	New / Addition
a.	1 <sup>st</sup> floor conditioned area	sq.ft	sq.f
b.	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor conditioned area	sq.ft	sq.f
c.	3 <sup>rd</sup> floor conditioned area	sq.ft.	sq.f
đ.	Basement	sq.ft.	sq.f
e.	Garage / Carport	sq.ft	sq.f
	attached	sq.ft.	sq.f
	detached	sq.ft.	sq.f
f.	Wood decks [must be counted at 100%].	sq.ft	sq.f
g.	Breezeways	sq.ft.	sq.f
ħ.	Covered patios	sq.ft.	sq.f
i.	Covered porches	sq.ft.	sq.f
j.	Balconies	sq.ft	sq.f
k.	Swimming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)]	sq.ft.	sq.f
1.	Other building or covered area(s)	sq.ft.	sq.f
	Specify	,	
	TOTAL BUILDING AREA (add a. through l.)	sq.ft	sq.f

TOTAL BUILDING COVERAGE ON LOT (subtract, if applicable, b., c., d., k. and f. if uncovered)	sq.ft. % of lot

### IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE

Include building cover and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered patios, decks, air conditioning equipment pad, and other improvements calculating impervious cover. Roof overhangs which do not exceed two feet or which are used for solar screening are not included building coverage or impervious coverage. All water must drain away from buildings on this site and buildings on adjacent lots.

a.	Total building coverage on lot (see above)	sq.ft.
b.	Driveway area on private property	sq.ft.
c.	Sidewalk / walkways on private property	sq.ft.
d.	Uncovered patios	sq.ft.
e.	Uncovered wood decks [may be counted at 50%]	sq.ft.
f.	Air conditioner pads	sq.ft.
g.	Concrete decks	sq.ft.
ĥ.	Other (specify)	sq.ft.
	•	•

TOTAL IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE (add a. through h.)	sq.ft. % of lot
•	

## CITY OF AUSTIN RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION "D" FLOOR AREA RATIO INFORMATION

TO BE COMPLETED FOR ALL PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY STANDARDS ORDINANCE BOUNDARY AREA.

oplicant's Signature	Dat	te_ <u>\$/7/07</u>
ROSS FLOOR AREA AND FLOOR AREA RATIO as defin	ed in the Austin Zoning (	Code.
	Existing	New / Addition
I. 1" Floor Gross Area		-
a. 1 <sup>st</sup> floor area (excluding covered or uncovered finished ground-floor porches)		
b. 1 <sup>st</sup> floor area with ceiling height over 15 feet.	sq.ft	sc
c. TOTAL (add a and b above)	sq.ft	sc
	sq.ft	sq
II. 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Gross Area See note 1 below		
d. 2nd floor area (including all areas covered by a roof i.e. porches,	sq.ft	
breezeways, mezzanine or lofi)	sq.ft	sq
e. 2 <sup>nd</sup> floor area with ceiling height > 15 feet.	sq.ft.	sq
f. TOTAL (add d and e above)		sų
TIE 200 Files Community of the last	•	
III. 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Gross Area See note <sup>1</sup> below		
g 3 <sup>rd</sup> floor area (including all areas covered by a roof i.e. porches, breezeways, mezzanine or loft).	sq.ft	sq
h. 3 <sup>rd</sup> floor area with ceiling height > 15 feet		
i. TOTAL (add g and h above)	sq,ft	sq
	sq.ft <sub>:</sub>	sq.
IV. Basement Gross Area		
j. Floor area outside footprint of first floor or greater than 3 feet		
above grade at the average elevation at the intersections of the		
minimum front yard setback line and side property lines.	sq.ft.	sq.
·		
V. Garage	sq.ft	
kattached (subtract 200 square feet if used to meet theminimum parking requirement)		sq.
	sq.ft.	sq.
Idetached (subtract 450 square feet if more than 10 feet from principal structure)		sq.
principal all actually		
VI. Carport (open on two or more sides without habitable space	sq.ft.	sq.
above it subtract 450 square feet)		
VII. TOTAL	sq.ft.	sq.:
TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (ac	ld aviating and any from 1777	
TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (ac		
GROSS AREA OF LOT		ı. ft.
GROSS AREA OF LOT		. ft.
FLOOR AREA RATIO (gross floor	oran lamana due: -£1-4)	<u>, .  </u>
TAOOR AREA RATIO (gross 1100r	area /gross area of lot)	%


<sup>1</sup> If a second or third floor meets all of the following criteria it is considered to be attic space and is not calculated as part of the overall Gross Floor Area of the structure.

It is fully contained within the roof structure and the roof has a slope of 3 to 12 or greater

It only has one floor within the roof structure

It does not extend beyond the foot print of the floors below

It is the highest habitable portion of the building: and Fifty percent or more of the area has a ceiling height of seven feet or less.



Applicant's Signature

## **RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION "B"**

#### CITY OF AUSTIN

## RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION

I understand that in accordance with Sections 25-1-411 and 25-11-66 of the Land Development Code (LDC), non-compliance with the LDC may be cause for the Building Official to suspend or revoke a permit and/or license. I understand that I am responsible for complying with any subdivision notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays prohibiting certain uses and/or requiring certain development restrictions (i.e., height, access, screening, etc.) on this property. If a conflict should result with any of these restrictions, it will be my responsibility to resolve it. I understand that, if requested, I must provide copies of all subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants, and/or zoning conditional overlay information that may apply to this property.

I acknowledge that this project qualifies for the Site Plan Exemption as listed in Section 25-5-2 of the LDC.

I understand that nothing may be built upon or over an easement. I further understand that no portion of any roof structure may overhang in any public utility or drainage easement.

I acknowledge that customer will bear the expense of any necessary relocation of existing utilities to clear this driveway location and/or the cost to repair any damage to existing utilities caused during construction.

I also understand that if there are any trees greater that 19 inches in diameter located on the property and immediately adjacent to the proposed construction, I am to schedule a Tree Ordinance review by contacting (512) 974-1876 and receive approval to proceed.

I agree that this application will expire on the 181st day after the date that the application is filed if the application is not approved and an extension is not granted. If the application expires, a new submittal will be required.

APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE

DATE

S 7 0 5 0 7

HOME BUILDER'S STATE REGISTRATION NUMBER (required for all new construction)

The state of t

Subj:

Letter for permit

Date:

5/13/2007 4:48:09 PM Central Daylight Time

From: To: Fredapurcell rilevs1223

Jeff Saikin 12909 Park Drive Austin, Texas 78732 512-663-7137 Tel 512-266-6369 Fax

May 10, 2007

Sylvia Benavidez
City of Austin, Watershed Protection and Development Review
505 Barton Springs Rd.
Austin, Texas 78704

Re: 12912 Park Drive, Austin, Texas 78732; Hughes Park Lake Subdivision Project.

Dear Ms. Benavidez,

As you know, building permit #BP03-8418R was issued for the construction of the home at the above address in 2003. The lot on which the home was built is part of the Hughes Park Lake Subdivision project, an endeavor which began in 1939 when approval of the final plat became the first in a series of permits required to initiate and continue the project. The on-going construction of improvements for residential purposes continues today. When the project began it was not within any jurisdictional boundary of the City of Austin but was annexed for limited purposes by the City in 1986. No services, including water, wastewater, fire or police protection, libraries, parks etc. are provided by the City and none of the subdivision improvements such as roads etc. have ever been accepted for maintenance by the City.

The house was constructed in compliance with all requirements of the project, including those of the final plat for the subdivision, and was substantially completed in 2004, at which time the owner moved in. In response to a complaint from a lawyer of a nearby lot owner, the City is requiring that we call for a final inspection and obtain a C.O. for the house. We called for the inspection under BP03-8418R but were told the permit was doormat. We asked that it be reactivated and were told that it had expired, could not be re-activated, and would no longer support an inspection necessary for issuance of a C.O.

Therefore, pursuant to the City's suggestion for us to authorize a final inspection to issue a C.O. for the home built in this project, we, respectfully, request that you issue the required permit so that we may comply with the City's request.

Thank you for your help in this platter.

Sincerely,

Jeff Saikin

See what's free at AOL.com.

<b>.</b>	" Rejeted new	to snow if lot 11 or lot of Can not match up
(F)	Wed flood	plain (3) med to know if of the Basement is gran
(S)	RESIDENT	BP Number_ 101-03-34122  Building Permit No
<b>A</b>	PRIMARY PROJECT DA  Service Address	1A ( Mrshat prig) 0 53 440 1440000 Tax Parcel No. 615 2440 1440000
J.S.	If in a Planned Unit Deve	Subdivision Hughes Purk Luke Subdiv Section Phase opment, provide Name and Case No 03016064, 03016065, 030160606 phase approved copies of subdivision and site plan
perm	New Residence Duplex	subdivided lot, you must contact the Development Assistance Center for a Land Status Determination.  Remodel Appetity of Cold
	GarageattachedCarportattachedPool	detached Mi Summer Addition (specify) 100 00.00 40
	1	Height of building 32 ft. # of floors 1 +  pproved septic permit must be submitted with the Residential Permit application for zoning approval.
	Does this site have a Board of Will this development require Does this site front a paved st	Adjustment ruling?YesNo If yes, attach the B.O.A. documentation a cut and fill in excess of 4 feet?YesNo
	VALUATIONS FOR REMODELS ONLY	DATA FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION OR ADDITIONS ONLY
ح	Building \$  Electrical \$  Mechanical \$  Plumbing \$	Lot Size 15,000 sq.ft.  Job Valuation \$ 475,000 \$ \$33.0 \$ Mechanical \$ 400.0 \$ 34.00 \$ Mechanical \$ 400.0 \$
gina	Driveway & Sidewalk \$  TOTAL \$ (labor and materials)	Total Job Valuation (remodels and additions)  Plumbing \$ Driveway & Sidewalk \$ \$
(Q)	OWNER / BUILDER INFO	΄
)	OWNER Name_	Talsh Tarltw, Ltd. Telephone (h) 266 6393
Ō		Name SAIKIN BIDEVS (W) 784 4920 Telephone 663 7/37
·	DRIVEWAY /SIDEWALK Contractor	Suitin Builders  Pager FAX 266 6369  Telephone 266 6373
	OF ——	Jals L Tarlton, Ltd.  Telephone 26 C (393  500 4 Lake View BV: City Aushin ST TY ZIP 78732
, -		

If you would like to be notified when your application is approved, please select the method:

\* telephone X e-mail: Susenhausth Z @ Rol. Com; 266-6393

plicant's Signa	ture				
			Date		
	COVERAGE	N.			
e area of a lot (	overed by buildings or roofed areas, but not	including (i) incidental projecting eaves and si			
el paving, land	scaping, or open recreational facilities.	menung (4) menuemai projecting eaves and si	milar features, or (ii) grou		
o 18t ci .		Existing	New / Addition		
	r conditioned area	sq.ft.	3902 sq		
c. 3 <sup>rd</sup> floc	or conditioned area	sq.ft.	sqsq.		
d. Baseme	r conditioned area	sq.ft.	sq		
e. Garage	ent ( unfinished ) / Carport	sq.ft.	2744 sq.		
	ched		<u> </u>		
drag		sq.ft	sq.		
		sq.ft.	400 × sq.		
f. Wood	lecks [must be counted at 100%]	sq.ft.	sq.		
g. Breezer		sq.ft.			
h. Covere		sq.ft.	1400 sq.		
	l porches	sq.ft.			
j. Balconi	-	sq.ft.			
k. Swimm	ing pool(s) [pool surface area(s)]	sq.ft.	400 sq.		
1 Other building or covered area(s)		sq.ft.			
Specify_			sq.1		
,	TOTAL BUILDING AREA (add a. th	(rayah I)	Q D111		
		rough l.)sq.ft.	0, 976 sq.1		
OTAL RUI	DINC COVERACE ON LOT	(ED)2			
O IZILI DOL	LDING COVERAGE ON LOT (sub	tract b., c., d., and k. if applicable) 102	sq.ft. \		
			% of lot		
	IC COLUMN A CO	The state of the s			
PEDVIOL			1		
PERVIOU					
de building co	er and sidewalks driveways unaccessed	os, decks, air conditioning equipment nad and	other improvements in		
de building co lating impervio	ver and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered pati	os, decks, air conditioning equipment pad, and ted two feet or which are used for solar screeni	other improvements in ng are not included in		
de building co lating impervio ing coverage o	ver and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered pati ous cover. Roof overhangs which do not exce r impervious coverage. All water must drain	eed two feet or which are used for solar screeni away from buildings on this site and buildings	other improvements in ng are not included in on adjacent lots.		
de building co lating impervid ing coverage o	ver and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered patious cover. Roof overhangs which do not excer impervious coverage. All water must drain Total building coverage on lot (see	away from buildings on this site and buildings e above)	other improvements in ng are not included in on adjacent lots. .ft.		
de building co lating impervio ing coverage o a t	ver and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered patious cover. Roof overhangs which do not excer impervious coverage. All water must drain  Total building coverage on lot (see on Driveway area on private property	away from buildings on this site and buildings e above)	ng are not included in on adjacent lots. .ft.		
de building co lating impervio ing coverage o a t	ver and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered pations cover. Roof overhangs which do not excer impervious coverage. All water must drain.  Total building coverage on lot (see b.)  Driveway area on private property.  Sidewalk / walkways on private p	away from buildings on this site and buildings above)  gain and buildings on this site and buildings above  sq	ng are not included in on adjacent lots.  A. ft.		
de building co lating impervious ing coverage o t t C	per and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered pations cover. Roof overhangs which do not excer impervious coverage. All water must drain.  Total building coverage on lot (see Driveway area on private property. Sidewalk / walkways on private p. Uncovered patios	away from buildings on this site and buildings of above)  gabove  groperty  gabore  ga	ng are not included in on adjacent lots. .ft. .ft. .ft.		
de building co lating impervious ing coverage o t t c c c	per and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered patieus cover. Roof overhangs which do not excer impervious coverage. All water must drain  Total building coverage on lot (see Driveway area on private property Sidewalk / walkways on private p Uncovered patios Uncovered wood decks [may be cou	away from buildings on this site and buildings of above)  gabove  groperty  gabore  ga	ng are not included in on adjacent lots. .ft. .ft. .ft. .ft.		
de building co lating impervious ing coverage o t t t f	per and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered patieus cover. Roof overhangs which do not excer impervious coverage. All water must drain.  Total building coverage on lot (see Driveway area on private property. Sidewalk / walkways on private p. Uncovered patios.  Uncovered wood decks [may be counting.]	away from buildings on this site and buildings or above)  sq HOO sq roperty  sq s	ng are not included in on adjacent lots. .ft. .ft. .ft. .ft. .ft.		
de building co lating impervious ing coverage o t t c c c	per and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered patieus cover. Roof overhangs which do not excer impervious coverage. All water must drain  Total building coverage on lot (see Driveway area on private property Sidewalk / walkways on private purposed Driveway area on private property area on private purposed Driveway area on private property area	away from buildings on this site and buildings on this site and buildings on the site and buildings on this site and buildings on the site and buildings of the site and buildings on	ng are not included in on adjacent lots. .ft. .ft. .ft. .ft. .ft.		

\_sq.ft. \_% of lot

TOTAL IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE (add a. through h)

### **CITY OF AUSTIN**

## RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION

I understand that in accordance with Sections 25-1-411 and 25-11-66 of the Land Development Code (LDC), non-compliance with the LDC may be cause for the Building Official to suspend or revoke a permit and/or license. I understand that I am responsible for complying with any subdivision notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays prohibiting certain uses and/or requiring certain development restrictions (i.e., height, access, screening, etc.) on this property. If a conflict should result with any of these restrictions, it will be my responsibility to resolve it. I understand that, if requested, I must provide copies of all subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants, and/or zoning conditional overlay information that may apply to this property.

I acknowledge that this project qualifies for the Site Plan Exemption as listed in Section 25-5-2 of the LDC.

I also understand that if there are any trees greater that 19 inches in diameter located on the property and immediately adjacent to the phyposed construction, I am to schedule a Tree Ordinance review by contacting (512) 974-1876 and receive autroval to proceed.

APPLICANT'S
SIGNATURE

DATE

Rejection Notes/Additi	ional Comments (for office use	e only))) of Wireway	of where	12/8/1
A STATE	the state		- A	Cill'a Dung
D TWIG to	fnow pallery	Spaces LEC	ralus	
				•
<u> </u>				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

The dripline of protected trees (19" dia. or greater measured at 4.5' above grade), must be enclosed with protective fencing before and throughout construction. No tree measuring 19" in diameter from the City of Austin. Call the Developmen As relance Certer for eview: 974-6370. CITY OF AUSTIN APPROVED FOR PERMIT Joseph G. Pantalion, P.E. in praying or a permit but, or approvation, these plans and specifications shall not be construed to be a permit for, or an approvation, any violation of any of the provisions of the current industry building code or any other ordinance of the City of Austin. 250.92 N 2513'40-W SETBACK 233.67

## CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, December 13, 2010	CASE NUMBER: C15-2010-0134
Y Jeff Jack 2 <sup>nd</sup> the Motion	
Y Michael Von Ohlen Melissa Hawthorn	1
Y Nora Salinas	
Y Bryan King	
Y Leane Heldenfels, Chairman	
Y Clarke Hammond, Vice Chairman Motion	to PP to Feb 14, 2011
Y Heidi Goebel	
ADDI IOANITA II AD A A A A	

**APPLICANT: Jim Bennett** 

**OWNER: Fred Purcell** 

**ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR** 

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8 ½ feet along the east and

west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

BOARD'S DECISION: POSTPONED to February 14, 2011 (for additional information, show permit of what was built, topographic map, calculations of impervious coverage); Board member Clarke Hammond motioned, Board member Jeff Jack seconded; Vote 7-0; POSTPONED TO February 14, 2011

# FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
  - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Susan Walker

**Executive Liaison** 

Leane Heldenfels

Chairman

Park Dr.

Date:

12/14/2010 12:39:41 P.M. Central Standard Time

From: To:

Fredapurcell@aol.com

snyderp@mac.com, dr.snyder@mac.com

CC:

rsuttle@abaustin.com

Patty, Bill: If you are willing, I would like to sit down with you and listen to your thoughts on how to resolve matters affecting my home and your land. Patty, if you haven't gone back to Scottsdale, yet, I would be happy for you to come to our home or meet somewhere to discuss this. My telephone number is 512-784-4920. Thanks for your consideration. Fred.

12912 Park

Date:

12/27/2010 12:09:41 P.M. Central Standard Time

From:

Fredapurcell@aol.com

To:

snyderp@mac.com, dr.snyder@mac.com rsuttle@abaustin.com

CC:

Bill, Patty: I hope you had a merry Christmas. I can come to Scottsdale to meet with you concerning the variances on my home later this week or over the weekend if you so desire. Thanks, Fred.

Re: 12912 Park

Date:

12/27/2010 1:48:34 P.M. Central Standard Time

From: To:

dr.snyder@mac.com Fredapurcell@aol.com

To: CC:

snyderp@me.com

Usually that would be a fine idea, however I'm at tpc Vegas and patty at sawgrass, then next week Utah, after that not sure.....

Patty was pretty disappointed the way the issues were characterized by your side, so I think that pretty much poisened the well, but I'll ask her

Happy nu year

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 27, 2010, at 10:09 AM, Fredapurcell@aol.com wrote:

Bill, Patty: I hope you had a merry Christmas. I can come to Scottsdale to meet with you concerning the variances on my home later this week or over the weekend if you so desire. Thanks, Fred.

Re: 12912 Park

Date:

12/27/2010 4:23:50 P.M. Central Standard Time

From: To: Fredapurcell@aol.com dr.snyder@mac.com

CC:

snyderp@mac.com, rsuttle@abaustin.com

I understand. Yes, there was a question as to whether I had a permit to build my swimming pool; I responded that I did to which she didn't agree. I believe we still have copies of the permits for the pool and garage which I will copy you with. Happy new year to you and if there is a change of mind, please let me know. Fred.

In a message dated 12/27/2010 1:48:34 P.M. Central Standard Time, dr.snyder@mac.com writes:

Usually that would be a fine idea, however I'm at tpc Vegas and patty at sawgrass , then next week Utah, after that not sure.....

Patty was pretty disappointed the way the issues were characterized by your side, so I think that pretty much poisened the well, but I'll ask her

Happy nu year

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 27, 2010, at 10:09 AM, Fredapurcell@aol.com wrote:

Bill, Patty: I hope you had a merry Christmas. I can come to Scottsdale to meet with you concerning the variances on my home later this week or over the weekend if you so desire. Thanks, Fred.

1) CO

2) love 1 pernt

3) Work

ell) Piers

5) barage

1) Swimming Port 1) Whyterouder plant

PERM 175

# City of Austin

# BUILDING PERMIT

PERMIT NO:

2008-063194-BP

Type: RESIDENTIAL

Status: Active

Issue Date: 08/11/2008 12912 PARK DR

Expiry Date: 02/07/2009

LEGAL DESCRIPTION				SIT	E API	PROVAL	ZONI	NG	GRID NO.
							sf2	2	MB33
PROPOSED OCCUPANCY "life saftey Certificate of Occup- original application it is a 1sty w	ancy for New Three Story Re ith a basement no indication	s W/Att Cove that it was a	red Patio (I 3 sty struct	pased on urë!)		DRK PERMI	TTED	<u> </u>	ISSUED BY Gabriel Guerrero Jr
TOTAL SQFT  Remodel: 6,473	VALUATION  Tot Val Rem:	\$100.00	TYPE CONST		35	GROUP	FLOORS	UNITS	# OF PARKING SPACES
TOTAL BLDG. COVERAGE	% COVERAGE	% COVERAGE			MPERVIOUS COVERAGE			% COVERAGE	
Contact Applicant, Fred Purcell Owner, Fred Purcell General Contractor, Fred Purc	ell		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	() - () - () -	phone

<u> ₹ee Des</u>	cription
Building F	Permit Fee

**Paid Date** Fee Amount

\$23.00

\$23.00 08/11/2008

**Total Fees:** 

Inspection Requirements

**Building Inspection** 

Section 25-11-94 EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF PERMIT (Active Permits will expire 180 days at 11:59:59 pm after date of last inspection performed.)

See Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing permits for Related Fees and Inspections.

Comments

<u>Date</u>

<u>User</u>

last week an agreement was reached in the office of the assistant city manger Sue Edwards for additional information please contact Sue or Terry Irion (letter attached) I Left a message with Marie Sandoval for confirmation of the conversation.

Residential Zoning Review

08/11/2008

Residential Zoning Reviewers

BY ACCEPTING OR PAYING FOR THIS PERMIT YOU ARE DECLARING THAT YOU ARE THE OWNER OR AUTHORIZED BY THE OWNER THAT THE DATA SUBMITTED AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION WAS TRUE FACTS AND THAT THE WORK WILL CONFORM TO THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATION SUBMITTED HEREWITH.



# **City of Austin**

# **BUILDING PERMIT**

2008-063194-BP

Type: RESIDENTIAL

Status: Active

PROPOSED OCCUPANCY  The saftey Certificate of Occupancy for New Three Story Res W/Att Covered Patio (based priginal application it is a 1sty with a basement no indication that it was a 3 sty structure!)  OTAL SQFT  Remodel: 6,473  Tot Val Rem: \$100.00	e Date	: 08	3/11/2008	Exp	xpiry Date: 02/07/2009				
LEGAL DESCRIPTION			-	SIT	E API	PROVAL	ZON	ING	GRID NO.
							sf2		MB33
PROPOSED OCCUPANCY "life saftey Certificate of Occup original application it is a 1sty v	e saftey Certificate of Occupancy for New Three Story Res W		W/Att Covered Patio (bas hat it was a 3 sty structure			DRK PERMI	ITTED		ISSUED BY Gabriel Guerrero Jr
TOTAL SQFT	VALUATION			USE C	AT.	GROUP	FLOORS	UNITS	# OF PARKING SPACES
Remodel: 6,473	Tot Val Rem:	\$100.00		43	5		1	1	
TOTAL BLDG. COVERAGE	% COVERAG	E	TC	DTAL IMF	ERV	IOUS COV	ERAGE	%	COVERAGE

<u>Type</u>	Date Status	Comments	Inspector
01 Building Layout	Open		Bob Bulkley
02 Foundation	Open		Bob Bulkley
03 Framing	Open		Bob Bulkley
04 Insulation	Open		Bob Bulkley
05 Wallboard	Open		Bob Bulkley
11 Energy Final	Open		Bob Bulkley
12 Final Building	Open	According to the second	Bob Bulkley

5006699. 5006699

Contraction of the contraction o



# NOTICE OF CLASS "A" TRAVIS COUNTY BASIC DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

This Permit No. <u>03-2288</u> is issued on

This Permit No. $03-2288$ is issued on $4/7/2004$	and is effective immediately.
This Permit is Issued to <u>JEFF SAIKIN</u> and is not transferrable.	
This Permit authorizes the permittee to construct dev requirements of Travis County Flood Plain Managem described property	velopment in accordance with the ents Regulation on the following
LOT 77 BLOCK A HUGHES PARK LA	KE SURD 1
12912 PARK DRIVE	
Single Family Residence SFR  The permittee applied in Travis County for a basic dev location. The application has been reviewed and it is a	
location. The application has been reviewed and it is it and improvements will not be in the 100 year flood pla not required by Travis County.  This permit shall be posted in a location where it is vis weather, and secure from vandalism and will remain pocomplete.	in and elevation certificate completion is
This Department recommends the finish floor slab be chighest adjacent grade.	constructed 12 inches above the
Notes:  Compliance with the Endangered Species Act is the responsibility of the applicant.	SKALL
Issuance of this permit does not imply compliance with deed and/or plat restrictions.	Stacey Scheffel ————
Contact Travis County Prior to Driveway Construction.	Floodplain Administrator Travis County
Special Provisions:	Transportation and Natural Resources

The attached driveway permit constitutes only a regulatory approval by the County pursuant to 251.016, Transportation Code, and Ch 64, Travis County Code. This permit grants no property interest in the right of way and does not constitute a determination by the County that the permitee has any property interest entitling the permittee to construct improvements in the



# NOTICE OF CLASS "A" TRAVIS COUNTY BASIC DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

This Permit No. $\underline{03-2288}$ is issued on $\underline{4/7/2004}$	and is effective immediately.
This Permit is Issued to JEFF SAIKIN and is not transferrable.	
This Permit authorizes the permittee to construct dev requirements of Travis County Flood Plain Managem described property	velopment in accordance with the ents Regulation on the following
LOT 77 BLOCK A HUGHES PARK LA	KE SUBD 1
12912 PARK DRIVE	
Single Family Residence SFR	
The permittee applied in Travis County for a basic dev location. The application has been reviewed and it is and improvements will not be in the 100 year flood pla not required by Travis County.  * This permit shall be posted in a location where it is vis weather, and secure from vandalism and will remain percomplete.	in and elevation certificate completion is
This Department recommends the finish floor slab be on the best adjacent grade.	constructed 12 inches above the
Notes: Compliance with the Endangered Species Act is the responsibility of the applicant.	SKSHH
Issuance of this permit does not imply compliance with deed and/or plat restrictions.	Stacey Scheffel
Contact Travis County Prior to Driveway Construction.	Floodplain Administrator Travis County
Special Provisions:	Transportation and Natural Resources

The attached driveway permit constitutes only a regulatory approval by the County pursuant to 251.016, Transportation Code, and Ch 64, Travis County Code. This permit grants no property interest in the right of way and does not constitute a determination by the County that the permitee has any property interest entitling the permittee to construct improvements in the right of way.

TRAVIS COUNTY PERMIT APPLICATION FOR DRIVEWAY IN RIGHT-OF-WAY	Virgh (
DATE: 9-6-03- Permit No.: 03-02	
Application is hereby made for construction within public right-of-way according to the descripti follows. Construction shall conform with Chapter 82.302 (h) of the Travis County Standards for Construction of Streets and Drainage in Subdivisions (8/27/97).  1. PROPERTY INFORMATION:	on as
Street Address: 12912 Park Dr., Austin, 7x, 78732	7 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
Subdivision Name: Hughes Park Lake Subdiv. #1 Lot: 77 Block	A
Survey.	ed N
Acreage: Tax Parcel No.: 615244	<u>+01440000</u>
Y Tour toll on the	A page 1
A Type I (1 or 2 family residence)  B Culvert-Style 2 OR 2 Dip-Style OR Curb & Gutte	i codi ila
Culvert-Style 2 OR 2 Dip-Style OR Curb & Gutte	できない。 <b>r-</b> Style
Driveway Widths: 12-25	@ROW-
3. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR PROCESSING.	
<ul> <li>a. Approximate Date of Construction: 4-15-03</li> <li>b. Site plan showing: property lines, proposed/existing improvements, guardrails, mailbour inlets, proposed driveways interest.</li> </ul>	
corner corner	rom lot
c. When a property does not have adequate street frontage and access is obtained by way of another property, not owned by this applicant, include a copy of the access / roadway easement that allows this access.	<b>of</b> .
that allows this access.	
It is agreed that the proposed improvements shall be constructed in accordance with Travis County specifications and as shown on approved plans and specifications submitted. Construction authorized shall be subject to	ions /
relocation of utility facilities impacted by the ground assume full responsibility for notification, coordination	and
integrity of any existing sform water drainage facility and will	he
Streets and Drainage in Subdivisions It is forther second to the Havis County Standards for Construction of	of ·
permit shall be replaced by a permanent Type 1 or Type 2 driveway approach under a new permit within thirty	this (30)
PURPOSES, THE MINIMUM PIPE DIAMETER ALLOWED to the and grade. FOR MAINTENANCE	(
STYLE DRIVEWAY).	K1

Applicant's Signature

( ) CITY OF AUSTIN - PROJECT PERMIT Printed: 13 November 2003 PERMIT NO. **STATUS** TYPE DATE **ADDRESS** 03016064 ACTIVE R 12912 PARK DR 13-NOV-03 SUBDIVISION bdivision: HUGHES PARK LAKE SUBDIVISION NO.1; Lot: 77; Block: B PERMIT CLERK DCORTINAS PLAT BLOCK GRID Α 77 **MB33** HT & AREA ZONE PROPOSED OCCUPANCY NEW THREE STORY RES W/ATT COVERED PATIO SF2 REQD PROVD SPECIAL PERMIT NO. TOTAL SOFFT. VALUATION TYPE CONST USE. CAT. GROUP FLOORS BLDGS UNITS 8046 \$415,000 R3-1 2 1 WORK PERMITTED BASEMENT BUILDING DIMENSIONS MIN. STD ÓNR NEW Ν Ν Ν PHONE FEE PAID DATE REQUIRED OWNER/CONTR. WALSH TARLTON LTD INSPECTIONS 266-6393 BUILDING SAIKIN BUILDERS 663-7137 \$740 11/13/2003 BUILDING ELECTRICAL , B **MECHANICAL** \$460 ELECTRIC : C MECHANICAL \$240 PLUMBING PLUMBING CONCRETE 0 \$250 WATER S . SIDEWALK/DRIVE SEWER SIGN ROOF / SIDE SLEC SERVICE FEE PLAN CHECK FEE ETJESE TOTAL FEE TOTAL PAID \$1,690 \$740

C.O. MAILING ADDRESS

5004 LAKEVIEW DRIVE

AUSTIN, TX 78732

REMARKS

IC-6172' 41% BC-5702' 38%. 16' DR & COA SIDEWALKS MAYBE REQUIRED. NOT IN FLOOD PLAIN PER TODD PANKEY 11-10-2003.RESID ZON REV NBRIONES.

Httw. Dianna



TO SCHEDULE AN INSPECTION, CALL 480-0623.

03016064	ACTIVE	R		1		12912 PARK D	R		1:	B-NOV	
Subdivision: HU	GHES PARK	LAKE SI	JBDI\	ISION	NO.1; Lot: 77;	Block: B			CORTIN		<del></del>
PLAT	BLOCK				LOT			<u> </u>	GRID		
	Α	77						MB33			
SF2	REQD.	PARI PRO			NEW THREE S	STORY RES W	ROPOSED OCCU /ATT COVE	PANCY ERED PATIO			<del></del>
SPECIAL PERI	VIT NO.	TOTALS	Q. FT.		VALUATION	TYPE CONST.	USE, CAT.	GROUP	FLOORS	BLOGS	UNITS
		804	6		\$415,000		R3-1		2	1	
WOF	RK PERMITTED	<u> </u>	BA	SEMENT ]		BUILDING D	DIMENSIONS		_L	MIN. STD.	ONR
NEW				N		1		1	}	N	N
>	TYPE			ATE							
Layout	1166		ر	AIE	STATUS	FAILUF	RES	INSPECTOR		00DE	,
Plumbing Rou	ıgh									501	:
Plumbing Sev	ver									505	
Plumbing Cop	per		Ì				ĺ			502	
Plumbing Gas	· S		-			-				504	
Foundation			İ				İ			102	!
Framing									i	103	
Insulation/Ene	ergy								i	601	
Plumbing Top	Out		-						- 1	503	
Mechanical Re	ough		1						i	401	
Mechanical Ve	ent		į							402	i
Electrical Slab	1								1	301	1
Electric Rough	ì								1	302	ĺ
Wallboard										104	j
Sidewalk Prep	our	-							i	201	
Driveway Prep	our									202	
Final Building			İ						- }	706	
Final Electric										701	
Final Mechanic	cal								ł	703	į
Final Plumbing	]								-	702	
Final Energy		.							-	704	i ;
Final Concrete									1 7	<sup>7</sup> 05	Ì
Final Sign			İ						7	707	ļ
Landscaping			<u> </u>				J		l		
Engineering					Admin Holds: I	NONE		Review D	ate		
Water											
Sewer				i	Remarks:						:
Fire			1								i
			}		Approval of the fina						!

ADDRESS

TYPE

STATUS

PERMIT NO.

DATE



# City of Austin WPDR PIER - Building Permit - RECEIPT

Receipt #: 351768

Date: 13 NOV 2003

Company Name:

SAIKIN BUILDERS

**Contact Name:** 

Phone:

512-663-7137

Fax: --

Address

City:

ZIP: -

Issued by: DIANA CORTINAS

Payment Method:

CHECK

Status: Paid

Check Number:

2677

Check Amount:

Quantity	Sub Total
1	\$740.00
1	\$33.00
1	\$33.00
	Quantity  1  1

Total: \$806.00

# 'TY OF AUSTIN - PROJECT PER'T

Printed: 13 November 2003

	0301606		STATUS ACTIVE	TYPE R			ADDRES 12912 PAR				DATE 13-NOV	
	divisio	on: HUG	GHES PARK	( LAKE SU	subdivision BDIVISION	N NO.1; Lot: 77	; Block: B		· ·	ERMIT CLI		
	PLAT		вьоск	77		LOT			MB33	GRID	<del></del>	
	SF2	HT. & AREA	REQD.	PARKII PROVE		NEW DETAC	HED GARAG	PROPOSED OCC	1			
	SPE	CIAL PERMI	T NO. PERMITTED	TOTAL SQ. 1	BASEMENT	\$10,000	TYPE CONST	M1-1	GROUP	FLOORS	BLDGS	UNITS
N	EW				N		BUILDI	NG DIMENSIONS			MIN, STD.	ONR
CONTRACTORS	BUILDIN ELECTR MECHAN PLUMBIN SIDEWA SIGN ROOF/S	NICAL NICAL NG LK/DRIVE	SAIKIN BUILDERS  AL  CAL  VORIVE  DE  VICE FEE				266-6393 663-7137	\$33 \$34	11/13/2003	REQUIP INSPECT BUILDIN ELECTR		NS
							ETJ FEE	TOTAL FEE \$67	TOTAL PAID \$33	÷		

C.O. MAILING ADDRESS

5004 LAKEVIEW DRIVE

AUSTIN, TX 78732

REMARKS

IC-6172' 41% BC-5702' 38%. NOT IN FLOOD PLAIN PER TODD PANKEY 11-10-2003.RESID ZON REV **NBRIONES** 

BY TAKING AND/OR PAYING FOR THIS PERMIT APPLICATION, YOU ARE DECLARING YOU ARE THE OWNER OR HAVE BEEN AUTHORIZED BY THE OWNER OR OWNERS TO SUBMIT THIS APPLICATION, THAT THE GIVEN DATA ARE TRUE FACTS AND THAT THE WORK WILL CONFORM TO THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH:

THIS IS A RECEIPT OF PAYMENT FOR FEE OR FEES AS MARKED PAID.

										. minatet	Printed	d: 13 l	Novemb	er 2003
	SF2 SPECIAL PERMIT NO.  TOTAL SQ. FT. 400 S10,000 WORK PERMITTED WORK PERMITTED WORK PERMITTED  BASEMENT N  Layout Plumbing Rough Plumbing Rough Plumbing Gas Foundation Framing Insulation/Energy Plumbing Top Out Mechanical Rough Mechanical Vent Electrical Slab Electrical Slab Electric Rough Vallboard Sidewalk Prepour Driveway Prepour Gride Walth Prepour Drive Walth Pr		-03											
bdivis	sion: H	UGH	ES PARI	K LAKE	SUBDIV	BDIVISIO	N NO.1: Lot: 77:	Block	 R			PERMIT CLI		
L				<del></del>							D	CORTI	NAS	
ļ				77			LOT					GRID	<del></del>	
ZONE	HT. & /	VREA		1''							MB33			
SF2			REQD.				NEW DETACH	ED GA	\RAGE	ROPOSED OCC	UPANCY			
s	PECIAL PE	RMIT NO	Э.	TOTA	AL SQ. FT.	1	VALUATION	1 10/6	E 00.107	<del></del>				
					400			111	E CONST.	1	GROUP	FLOORS	BLDGS	UNITS
	W	ORK PE	RMITTED			EMENT	7	<u> </u>	01111 Ou 10	1			1	
NEW			-			N		ļ	BUILDING DI	MENSIONS	1		MIN. STD.	ONR
<del></del>	<del></del>		/PE				<u> </u>	<u></u>					N.	N
-					DA	TE:	STATUS	]	FAILUR	ES	INSPECTOR		CODE	
													101	
													501	
													505 502	
	_	ıs											504	
													102	
	~												103	
													601	
												1	503	
		-	1										401	
													402	
													301	
	_	••										;	302	
		our					}	-					104	
												ľ	201	
Final B	uilding											1	202	
Final E	lectric							}					706	
												1	'01	
		]											03	
												!	02 04	
		<b>:</b>								[			0 <del>4</del> 05	
Final Si	gn												03 07	
Landsc	aping												<del></del>	_
Engine	ering						Admin Holds: No	ONE			Review Da	٠.		
Water											Heview Da	te		
Sewer							Remarks:							
Fire							] ]						4	
Health						i	Approval of the final of a customer service	plumbin e insnec	g inspection	if required	, serves as compl	etion and	approval	
		·						opco		arred by 20	r.a.u. 29.46 (j).			)

CITT OF AUSTIN - PROJECT PERMIT

Printed:

PERMIT NO. 03016065 bdivision: H	STATUS ACTIVE	R			N - PROJEC  ADDRESS 12912 PARK	<b>S</b>		<b>T</b> Printa	9d: <sub>1</sub>	3 Novem DAT 13-NOV	E
		K LAKE SUBDI	IVISION	NO.1; Lot: 7	7; Block; B		! :		PERMIT C	CLERK	
PLAT	BLOCK			LOT					CORT	TINAS	
	Α	77		,					GRID		
SF2		PARKING	<del></del> _					MB33			
	REQD.	PROVO.		NEW SWIM	MING POOL	PROP	DSED OC	CUPANCY			
SPECIAL PER	RMIT NO.	TOTAL SQ. FT.		VALUATION	TYPE CONST.						
		400		\$100,000	TIPE CONST.	ĺ	JSE. CAT.	GROUP	FLOOR	S BLDGS	UNITS
NEW	RK PERMITTED	BAS	SEMENT		DI W Davie		M2-1			1	
			N		BUILDING	DIMEN	SIONS		··· <del>·</del>	MIN. STD.	ONR
										N	N
C, OWNER/CONT	R. WALSH	TARLTON LT	D		PHONE	FE	1	PAID DATE		REQUIRE	/
N: BUILDING		BUILDERS	J		266-6393				IN	SPECTION	vs.
F R ELECTRICAL	Or artify !	DOILDERS .			663-7137		\$33	11/13/2003	B11	ILDING	
\' ∷  MECHANICAL						-	\$34	]		ECTRIC	
• {										JMBING	
į						1	<b>ተ</b> ባል				
SIDEWALK/DRIV	Έ						\$34				
SIGN !						į					
ROOF/SIDE						į	ĺ				
ELEC SERVICE	FEE										
PLAN CHECK FEE	<u> </u>					;					
						TAL FE	E	TOTAL PAID			
						\$101		\$33			
C.O. M	IAILING ADDRES	SS				1					
004 LAKEVIEW	DR										
JSTIN, TX 7873 ARKS IC-6172' 4 PER TODE NBRIONES	1% BC-5702' 389	%. NOT IN FLOOD -2003.RESID ZON	PLAIN REV		ÄKING AND/OR PA LICATION, YOU AR IER OR HAVE REE!						
				THE ( WOR SPEC THIS (	IER OR HAVE BEEI WINERS TO SUBM GIVEN DATA ARE I K WILL CONFORM IFICATIONS SUBM IS A RECEIPT OF F ARKED PAID.	TO THE	FACTS HE PLA HERE	AND THAT THE NS AND WITH:	ER	-	
		-				•					



# Lower Colorado River Authority

Post Office Box 220 Austin, Texas 78767 (512) 473-3216

# AUTHORIZATION TO OPERATE AN ON-SITE SEWAGE FACILITY Permit #: 3707

Location: 12912 PARK, AUSTIN TX 78732

HUGHES PARK LAKE Block: Lot: 77

Permit Date: 9/1/2004 Phone: (512) 266-6393

Owner: PURCELL, FRED A

Mailing address: 5004 LAKEVIEW DR AUSTIN TX 78732

This license signifies that final approval is given and that the system is ready for use and meets guidelines adopted by the Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA) and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). Acceptance of this license to operate the system constitutes an agreement to abide by the terms and conditions specified in the most current version of the LCRA and TCEQ regulations for on-site sewage facilities.

The LCRA's program is designed to protect the water quality of the Highland Lakes. Your commitment to meeting the requirements of the license is appreciated by everyone who uses and enjoys the Highland Lakes and the Colorado River. Thank you for your assistance in keeping the water clean.

Should you have questions regarding operation and maintenance of the system, please call me at 1-800-776-5272, extension 3216. The number printed on the license will help us answer your questions expeditiously. For your information, I have also enclosed a booklet which will better enable you to understand and care for your on-site sewage facility.

Thank you again for your concern about water quality. Remember that any time you see or suspect a problem about water quality, you may call 1-800-776-5272, extension 6843.


Agency Official OS0007172 Date

1/10/2007 04:38 PM Page 1

HOUSE L'encroachment

.

•



# METCALFE & SANDERS, INC./LAND SURVEYORS

4800 SOUTH CONGRESS AUSTIN, TEXAS 78745 (512) 442-5363

**SURVEYS & RECORDS SINCE 1904** 

# **SURVEY CERTIFICATE**

I, George L. Sanders, Texas Registered Professional Land Surveyor No. 1838 of Metcalfe & Sanders, Inc., Land Surveyors, do hereby certify to: Fred Purcell that on November 13, 2003 an on-the-ground survey of the forms for the slab of the proposed building on Lot 77, Hughes Park Lake Subdivision No. 1, City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, was made under my supervision and that the forms were not found to encroach on the five foot building set back lines.

Certified to this 15th day of December A. D. 2003.

METCALFE & SANDERS, INC.

Land Surveyors


George L. Sanders

Texas Registered Professional


Land Surveyor No. 1838

c:\project\03009\doc\formcert.doc

STEPS



Lot 76 Server 38 Long 5 introductions







# CORRECTION NOTICE

Time of Inspection
A First Carle of Shelf Fine Place with good Shelf
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development/pierivr.htm  The following orders are hereby issued for their correction   Topons we have the Market of their correction   Topons we have the Market of the Mar
your permit at:
ADDRESS 13913 PANK DA  INSPECTOR TO SOL PHONE SOLL DE


FIELD COPY

642EBO CARPORT

CARAGE



Garage From Street



GAROLA/CAXPORT From Street

1. The beck extends into sideyard 31/2-4!

Mastewater

- 2. The Deck is part of the landscaping/hardscape + covers pool equipmen on the west side + westerater package plant, including a a grinder pump and wasterneter trolory tank on the east side.
- 3. The seck covers the structural support (vertical buttracses) for the pool, pool equipment I sensage equipment.
- 4. On the west the lot owner bus constructed a 27' concrete, iron, wood + sheet-metal wall + fence. The concrete portion of the wall is 20'4" high, (B below); the fine /wei is 27 tall (c pelow); the wall and fence are 10'4" above the floor the leck (A below). The Seck is & above the ground.
- 5. The Sect, pool equipment, I Structural support are shielded by the concrete Levall.

The pad Jeck extreme out over a bluff are are supported by concrete Piers. The pool is supported by buttressed on each 7001 grinder . Vertical holding track

buttress

Pool

Water

tun Ks

Pool equipment




East View Deck


Deck from the













Jng dev Feal

FENCE











16+ 77 Smyder Frace


27' Tull











DECK

DECK <del>451</del> 251 \_50° 220' 中 201

comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person e I am in favor Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, December 13th, 2010 contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your ☐ I object If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: Case Number: C15-2010-0134 - 12912 Park Drive Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202 our address(es) affected by this application Dy Signature 7892 GARK Austin, TX 78767-8810 Your Name (please print) Daytime Telephone: ANICE P. O. Box 1088 listed on the notice. Susan Walker Comments:


## Snyder presentation to BOA

Opposed to variances requested by Mr. Fred Purcell

12912 Park Dr.

## Major Problems with Fred's Request

- 5' Setback for a reason space between residences, access by emergency personnel, value of property with space, etc.
- unsightly and dangerous Elevated Pool deck and equipment below uncovered is
- No security or protection possible kids can access his pool now, via our property or from his street and driveway
- Garage added in setback next to an 15' retaining wall both not allowed per City Code and not necessary nor a hardship for Fred (his choice and his plan all along
- We now have all these structures right up against our property – <u>degrades our property</u>
- water runoff, erosion is a problem impacts us directly Impervious Coverage is not in compliance anymore and



October 2003 – Fred's destruction of our fence


David was 5 – Now is 13. Still having to deal with this problem.



More Destruction along our property line - Oct 2003

No Permit, No permission by us to do this......

### Fred's Wall getting started - Cut and Built to 15' September 2004 – NO PERMIT




## Unfinished on our side ③




Note gas line above ground!!!

۷

### Our side of his wall




I'm 5'9" tall.





Left unfinished and jagged on purpose

# Fred's two garages – before and after





This was at 41% impervious cover (max 45%)






### Roof of his garage








Roof line in setback; view from street

### View from the lake – looks very overbuilt (four big houses side by side)



### PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;

is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property

or proposed development; or

is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that
has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of
the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.ci.austin.tx.us/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

Jan Jane Jane Jane Jane Jane Jane	Charles of the status parties to demand	i / l' }	Telephone (5)3 VI)	Your Name (please print)  Solp of prints of the print of	Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, December 13th, 2010	Case Number: C15-2010-0134 - 12912 Park Drive Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor Susan Walker
P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-8810

CASE # <u>C15-2010-0134</u> ROW-10513041 TP-6152440144

### CITY OF AUSTIN APPLICATION TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT GENERAL VARIANCE/PARKING VARIANCE

WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

PLEASE: APPLICATION MUST BE TYPED WITH ALL REQUESTED INFORMATION COMPLETED.

STREET ADDRESS: 12912 Park Drive
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Subdivision - Hughes Park Lake, Sub. # 1
Lot(s) 77 BlockA Outlot Division
I Jim Bennett as authorized agent for Fred Purcell
affirm that on 9/27 , 2010 , hereby apply for a hearing before the
Board of Adjustment for consideration to:
ERECT - ATTACH - COMPLETE - REMODEL - MAINTAIN  (West)  A garage providing a side yard setback of 4.46 ft.; a swimming pool deck providing side
A garage providing a side yard setback of 4.46 ft.; a swimming pool deck providing side
yard setbacks of Ult; a carport providing a front street setback of 10.3ft and a side yard
eccs setback of 3.9 ft; a wall (fence)height of 8ft. 6; and providing a side yard of 4.9 feet for
the residence. (West)
in a SF-2 district. EWEST
(zoning district)

The Austin Electric Utility Department (Austin Energy) enforces electric easements and the setback requirements set forth in the Austin Utility Code, Electric Criteria Manual and National Electric Safety Code. The Board of Adjustment considers variance to the Land Development Code, and a variance granted by the Board of Adjustment does not waive the requirements enforced by Austin Energy. Please contact Christine Esparza with Austin Energy at 322-6112 before filing your application with the Board of Adjustment if your request is for a reduction in setbacks or height limits.

NOTE: The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional support documents.

VARIANCE FINDINGS: I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings (see page 5 of application for explanation of findings):

### **REASONABLE USE:**

1. The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:

The improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot.

### HARDSHIP:

2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

The extreme topographic conditions of the site The site slopes approximately 70 ft. from the front of the lot to the rear. The sharp angle of the front property line. The sever drop at the front property line and the relocation of the street

(b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:

This site is developed and the flat surface portion of this lot is where the house is constructed. The street was not relocated in the front of other lots in the area.

### AREA CHARACTER:

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Due to the topography of the site the roof of the carport structure is almost non-visiable from off the property, as is the garage and other encroachment Due to the courtyard walls and walls on adjoining properties the minimal amount of variances the variances would have little or no affect on the character of the area.

**PARKING:** (Additional criteria for parking variances only.)

Request for a parking variance requires the Board to make additional findings. The Board may grant a variance to a regulation prescribed Section 479 of Chapter 25-6 with respect to the number of off-street parking spaces or loading facilities required if it makes findings of fact that the following additional circumstances also apply:

1. Neither present nor anticipated future traffic volumes generated by the use of the site or the uses of sites in the vicinity reasonable require strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation because:

	2. The granting of this variance will not result in the parking or loading of vehicles on public streets in such a manner as to interfere with the free flow of traffic of the streets because:						
7							
ja koro.	3. The granting of this variance will not create a safety hazard or any other condition inconsistent with the objectives of this Ordinance because:						
· .	The state of the s						
	4. The variance will run with the use or uses to which it pertains and shall not run with the site because:						
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a special privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated.						
	APPLICANT CERTIFICATE - I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.						
	Signed Fin Benneth Mail Address USOS Rudge Do Acustu, I. City, State & Zip Austin, 18 78748						
	Printed Jun Bennett Phone 282-3079 Date 3/26/09.						
	OWNERS CERTIFICATE – I affirm that my statements contained in the complete application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.						
	Signed The Mail Address 12912 Put UV.						
	City, State & Zip ThStiv, X +8 +32						
	Printed Fred Parcell Phone 784-4920 Date 3-23-09						

City MSI

EXB- the bill File for the exectment of

New legislation in 2003, Sec 211.016, which was

associated to Subchapter A, Ch. 211, Local Gov Code

by HB 1207; applicability of the new /cw is

addeds a in SECTION 23:


- (a) This but takes effect Sept 1, 2003.
- (b) The charge in law made by the Act applies only to a resto. Subdiv plot approved by a municipality on or after the effective Jate of this ket. A residential subdiv plat approved by a municipality before the effective Jate of this ket is governed by the law in effect when the plat was approved and the former law is continued and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose.

permits expired

1) Summing rod

2) game for compant

as period for







SUBJECT TRACT

ZONING BOUNDARY

### **BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS**


CASE#: C15-2010-0134 LOCATION: 12912 PARK DR

GRID: B33

MANAGER: SUSAN WALKER



This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



ALL POINTS CALCULATED UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

DWG. EXHIBIT

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor Susan Walker	Comments:  Land Uniform Standard .	Your Name (please print)  [7892 FARK DR. 7889 + # TEEN!]  Your address(es) affected by this application  Your Signature  Signature  Date	Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202  Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, December 13th, 2010  Jance S. Allen
---	------------------------------------	--	---

Austin, TX 78767-8810